UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

l	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/613,646	07/02/2003	Burns Phillips	50243-0001	4622
	1923 MCDERMOT	7590 04/06/2007 T, WILL & EMERY LL		EXAMINER	
	227 WEST MONROE STREET CHICAGO, IL 60606-5096		•	REIMERS, ANNETTE R	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		•	3733	
_					
	SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
	3 MC	NTHS	04/06/2007	DAD	ED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/613,646	PHILLIPS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Annette R. Reimers	3733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO le, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 December 2006</u> .						
.2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>17-31</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30 and 31</u> is/are allowed.	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30 and 31</u> is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>17-24 and 29</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-24 and 29</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>25-28</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 October 2005</u> is/are	D)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 October 2005</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of	Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<u> </u>				

Art Unit: 3733

15

DETAILED ACTION

For clarification purposes, regarding the interview with applicant's representative, Matthew Gryzlo, on December 18, 2006, examiner stated that applicant's proposed amendments appeared to overcome the current prior art reference, i.e. Furnish (US Patent Number 5,788,630), and that further search and or consideration may be necessary.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: In the specification, paragraph 00032, groove 178 is not indicated in the figures. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3733

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17-24 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bookwater et al. (U.S. Patent Number 4,424,724), previously cited by examiner on 892, paper number 20060915.

Bookwater et al. disclose a surgical retractor comprising a clamp, e.g. 20, having an opening with a slot, e.g. 120, having an upper and a lower surface, a latch, e.g. 80, is pivotally connected to the clamp (see column 4, lines 11-28) a spring, e.g. 100, biases the latch, a release button, e.g. 82, disengages the latch, an angularly adjustable leg, e.g. 22, is pivotally coupled to the clamp and can extend cantileveredly away from the clamp (see column 4, lines 60-68 and column 5, lines 1-5), wherein the leg has a retractor blade connector head mount and wherein the leg has a first projection and a second projection forming a U-shape (see figure 1), an operator, e.g. 44, is connected to the leg (see figures 1-4).

With regard to the statement of intended use and other functional statements, they do not impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over Bookwater et al., which is capable of being used as claimed if one so desires to do so. *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). Furthermore, the law of anticipation does not require that the reference "teach"

Art Unit: 3733

what the subject patent teaches, but rather it is only necessary that the claims under attack "read on" something in the reference. Kalman v. Kimberly Clark Corp., 218 USPQ 781 (CCPA 1983). Furthermore, the manner in which a device is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 25-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 30 and 31 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17-31 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Annette R. Reimers whose telephone number is (571) 272-7135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AR

AL

EDUARDO HOBERT SUPERVISORY PATEUT EXAMINED Page 5